

1 Tony W. Wong, Esq. (CSB No. 243324)
2 A. Justin Lum, Esq., *Of Counsel* (CSB No. 164882)
3 Peter K. Chu, Esq. (CSB No. 251705)
DAVID & RAYMOND I.P. LAW FIRM
4 388 E. Valley Blvd., Suite 223
5 Alhambra, CA 91801
6 Telephone: (626) 447-7788
7 Facsimile: (626) 447-7783

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
9
10 HIT INNOVATIVE CORPORATION

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13
14 HIT INNOVATIVE CORPORATION, a
15 Taiwan (R.O.C.) company,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 YU-TANG CHEN, an individual, and
19 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

20 Defendants.

21 CASE NO. 16-CV-01851

22 **COMPLAINT FOR:**

23
24
25
26
27
28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1 Plaintiff HIT INNOVATIVE, INC., through its counsel, for its Complaint
2 against Defendants YU-TANG CHEN and DOES 1 THROUGH 10,
3
4 INCLUSIVE, states as follows:

5 **THE PARTIES**
6

7 1. Plaintiff HIT INNOVATIVE CORPORATION (“Plaintiff”) is a
8 Taiwan company with a principal place of business at 12F., No. 10, Aly. 7, Ln.
9 205, Sec. 4, Shoungxiao E. Rd., Xinyi Dist., Taipei City 110, Taiwan (R.O.C.),
10 and is conducting business in the United States, including but not limited to the
11 State of California.
12

13 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant YU-TANG CHEN
14 (“Chen”) is an individual residing in the country of Taiwan (R.O.C.).
15

16 3. The true names and capacities of Defendants DOES 1 THROUGH
17 10, inclusive, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, and the
18 respective obligations of those defendants to Plaintiff, are unknown to Plaintiff at
19 this time, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names and will ask
20 leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to show their true names and
21 capacities when the same are ascertained. Chen and the Doe defendants are
22 collectively referred to as “Defendants.”
23

24 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the
25 fictitiously named defendants are legally or equitably responsible in some manner
26
27
28

for the occurrences as herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were caused by Defendants' conduct.

5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times pertinent hereto, each of the Defendants were the agents, servants, and employees of each other, and were acting within the course and scope of their agency and employment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Plaintiff's first and second claims for relief herein arise under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. §101 *et seq.*, the Declaratory Judgment Act, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 USC § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (a) and (b).

8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1400 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in this district, and because Plaintiff and Defendants conduct business in this district.

RELEVANT BACKGROUND

9. Plaintiff brings this action to stop Defendants from engaging in unfair competition concerning enforcement of a patent issued by the U.S. Patent and

1 Trademark Office, US. Patent No. 6,415,691 for "Ratchet Wrench Structure
2 Having a High Torsion Driving Action Along Dual Directions," ("Subject
3 Patent"), that they knew to be, or should reasonably have known to be invalid.
4 Defendants have aggressively pursued enforcement of the Subject Patent in spite
5 of the fact that the Subject Patent is widely known to have been anticipated by a
6 substantial body of invalidating prior patents and publications, and may further be
7 invalid for failing to fulfill the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112.
8

9
10 10. A true and correct copy of the Subject Patent in question is attached
11 herein as Exhibit "A."
12

13
14 11. It is Plaintiff's understanding and belief that Defendants either had in
15 their possession or could have easily learned of prior patents that invalidate the
16 Subject Patent.
17

18
19 12. It is Plaintiff's understanding and belief that Defendants either knew
20 or should have known that the Subject Patent fails to fulfill the requirement
21 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112 that the Subject Patent describe the claimed invention
22 in such terms that one skilled in the art can make and use the claimed invention.
23

24
25 13. Additionally, Defendants have alleged patent infringement by at least
26 the "Kobalt 25 pc Xtreme Access Mechanic's Tool Set" (the "Accused Products")
27 in correspondence to Plaintiff's customers, including parties that are located in the
28

1 State of California, do business in the State of California, and/or sell the Accused
2 Products in the State of California.
3

4 14. Defendants have threatened legal action against Plaintiff and its
5 associates to enforce the Subject Patent. A true and correct copy of pertinent
6 correspondence is attached herein as Exhibit "B."
7

8 15. Defendants' contact with Plaintiff's business partners in the United
9 States and threats of legal action for enforcement of the Subject Patent amount to
10 ill-founded attempts to interfere with the business of parties who are making,
11 using and selling legitimate products that have been wrongly accused. Defendants
12 have thereby engaged in unlawful and unfair business practices as defined in the
13 California Unfair Trade Practices Act, Sections 17200 *et seq.*
14
15 16. Plaintiff has been damaged because Plaintiff is the supplier of the
16 Accused Products that have been accused of infringing Defendants' Subject
17 Patent. Defendants' illegal actions amount to interference with Plaintiff's
18 legitimate business relationships.
19
20 17. Based on Plaintiff's information and belief, Defendants had
21 knowledge of and was fully informed of prior patents and publications that would
22 invalidate the Subject Patent prior to threatening legal action to enforce said
23 patent, and thereby have engaged in unfair competition and unfair business
24 practices.
25
26
27
28

18. Defendants' attempts to enforce the Subject Patent, while knowing that it is not legally enforceable, have caused and continue to cause damage to Plaintiff's business relationships and interference with Plaintiff's ability to sell the products that have been accused by Defendants.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the Subject Patent)

19. Plaintiff repeats each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-18 and incorporates such allegations by reference herein.

20. Plaintiff contends that one or more of claims of the Subject Patent is invalid for failing to comply with the conditions and requirements set forth in the United States Patent Laws, Title 35 U.S.C. §101, *et seq.*, including without limitation §§ 102, 103, and/or 112, and including (*inter alia*) invalidity under 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103, and/or 112.

21. Plaintiff is entitled to entry of judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202 from this Court declaring one or more claims of the Subject Patent invalid.

11

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Judgment of Non-infringement of the Subject Patent)

22. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive, as though the same were set forth in full herein.

23. Defendants have stated a specific intent to file suit against Plaintiff and demands Plaintiff comply with demands beyond Defendants' claims of rights.

24. There presently exists a justiciable controversy regarding the rights of the parties.

25. On information and belief, Defendants intend to file suit against Plaintiff and absent a declaration of non-infringement Plaintiff will suffer and continues to suffer injury.

26. Plaintiff is not liable for infringing any valid rights Defendants may claim in the Subject Patent and Defendants have no rights against Plaintiff in the Subject Patent because of its invalidity.

27. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment that they have not and do not infringe any enforceable rights in the Subject Patent and that they are not otherwise liable to Defendants.

11

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unfair Competition Under California

Business and Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*

also known as Unfair Practices Act)

28. Plaintiff repeats each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs, 1 through 27, inclusive, and incorporates such allegations by reference herein.

29. Defendants have committed acts of unfair competition by the knowing enforcement of a patent that is anticipated and unenforceable because of the actual and/or constructive knowledge of prior patents and publications that show it to be invalid.

30. Defendants' attempts to enforce the Subject Patent and malicious contact with Plaintiff's customers constitute unfair business acts, including unfair competition and intentional tortious interference with business relationships under the common law and violate California's Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 (also known as the Unfair Practices Act).

31. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for the damages that are continuing to its business as a result of Defendants' actions that are in violation of the Unfair Practices Act

32. Defendants' acts as alleged herein were malicious, willful, wanton, oppressive and outrageous.

33. Defendants' acts of unfair competition have damaged Plaintiff and caused it irreparable harm, which will continue unless Defendants' unlawful conduct is enjoined by this Court.

34. Upon information and belief, Defendants' acts were in conscious and willful disregard for Plaintiff's rights and the resulting damage to Plaintiff is such as to warrant the enhancement of damages in order to provide just compensation.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For compensatory damages that are to be proven at trial, and at present are not fully determined;

2. For entry of a judgment declaring that Plaintiff has not infringed the Subject Patent and is not liable for any infringement of the Subject Patent:

3. For entry of a judgment declaring the Subject Patent invalid;

4. For preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants' unlawful conduct of attempting to enforce the Subject Patent:

5 For restitution as authorized by law:

6. For prejudgment interest on Plaintiff's damages;
7. For attorneys fees, costs, and expenses incurred in bringing this action;
8. For other and further relief as this Court may deem proper.

Dated: March 17, 2016

David & Raymond IP Law Firm

s/Tony W. Wong/

Tony W. Wong

A. Justin Lum

Attorneys for Plaintiff
HIT INNOVATIVE
CORPORATION

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff HIT INNOVATIVE CORPORATION hereby demands a jury trial on all issues triable as of right to a jury. FED. R. CIV. P. 38(b).

Dated: March 17, 2016

David & Raymond IP Law Firm

s/Tony W. Wong/

Tony W. Wong

A. Justin Lum

Attorneys for Plaintiff
HIT INNOVATIVE
CORPORATION